

To: Goodin, John[Goodin.John@epa.gov]
Cc: Curtin, James[curtin.james@epa.gov]; Keating, Jim[Keating.Jim@epa.gov]; Reichert, Julie[reichert.julie@epa.gov]; Monschein, Eric[Monschein.Eric@epa.gov]
From: Furtak, Sarah
Sent: Wed 9/25/2013 9:27:30 PM
Subject: Action: R10 draft PdO statements
sept 25 2013 ecology mtg prep.docx

DRAFT-DELIBERATIVE* ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE

Hi John,

Thanks to Jim Keating and Jim Curtin for providing comment on the draft R10 draft PdO statements (attached), and thanks to Julie for input, too.

Below is a draft email to R10 with additional context for the comments. Also, Jim & Jim offered to talk more with you about context and moving expeditiously toward a resolution if you'd like. 2:30 to 3:00 tomorrow, Thursday, works for others. Are you booked firmly during that time?

Hi Dave,

Thanks for providing the prep points ahead of a meeting with Ecology on the Pend Oreille. This meeting with Ecology is an important opportunity to get R10, WA, and the tribe on a common path forward.

We understand these would be EPA messaging points or talking points, but not for distribution to Ecology.

It would be useful for us to discuss this draft before talking with Ecology.

From: Croxton, Dave
Sent: Wednesday, September 18, 2013 2:05 PM
To: Furtak, Sarah; Goodin, John; Keating, Jim; Curtin, James
Cc: Cope, Ben; Owens, Kim; Rueda, Helen; Opalski, Dan
Subject: prep for Ecology Meeting on Pend Oreille

CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEY CLIENT PRIVILEGED

Attached is a brief outline of what we could approach Ecology with regarding the Pend Oreille TMDL. This outline may not go as far as John had requested in describing a resolution, but we feel it does describe the appropriate next step in this process which is how to engage Ecology in the issues. Let us know your reactions/comments.

Thanks,

Dave